# **Open Access**



Mapping of conserved immunodominant epitope peptides in the outer membrane porin (Omp) L of prominent *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens associated with gastrointestinal infections

Harish Babu Kolla<sup>1</sup>, Shivakiran Satyanarayan Makam<sup>1,2</sup> and Prakash Narayana Reddy<sup>1,3\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background** Members of *Enterobacteriaceae* such as *Escherichia coli* O 157:H7, *Salmonella* sp., *Shigella* sp., *Klebsiella* sp., and *Citrobacter freundii* are responsible for the outbreak of serious foodborne illness and other mucosal infections across the globe. The outer membrane proteins (OMPs) of *Enterobacteriaceae* are highly immunogenic in eliciting immune responses against pathogens. Moreover, the OMPs are highly conserved in the *Enterobacteriaceae* family. Sequence homology in the OMPs will ensure the presence of conserved immunodominant regions with predominant epitopes. The OmpL is such an immunogen that is highly conserved among the *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens. In this study, we performed computational analysis on the outer membrane porin (Omp) L of prominent *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens.

**Results** Multiple sequence and structural alignment analysis have revealed that the OmpL protein is highly conserved among the selected *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens. This amount of sequence and structural homology uncovered the conserved antibody binding B-cell epitopes in the OmpL protein. The B-cell epitopes predicted in the OmpL of *Salmonella typhimurium* are highly conserved among the other *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens.

**Conclusion** In conclusion, these conserved B-cell epitopes will vouch for the generation of heterologous humoral immune response in conferring cross protection against the *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens and control their outbreaks across the globe.

Keywords Outer membrane porin (Omp) L, Sequence homology, B-cell epitopes, Epitope conservancy

\*Correspondence:

Prakash Narayana Reddy

prakashreddy.369@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Vignan's Foundation for Science, Technology and Research, Vadlamudi, Guntur 522213, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup> SKU Confederation, Atal Incubation Center, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur 515003, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>3</sup> Department of Microbiology, Dr. V.S. Krishna Government Degree & PG College, Maddilapalem, Visakhapatnam 530013, Andhra Pradesh, India

# Background

Members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family cause serious foodborne illnesses across the globe. It has remained a serious threat to the public in both developed and developing countries. The *Enterobacteriaceae* members contaminate food and water and are responsible for the primary cause of foodborne outbreaks around the world. Some of the *Enterobacteriaceae* members such as *Escherichia coli, Salmonella* sp., and *Shigella* sp. are the major foodborne pathogens [1]. These enteric pathogens are



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

mainly associated with serious food poisoning outbreaks. Moreover, these bacteria impart serious concerns to public health in Asian and African countries [1]. Being an opportunistic pathogen, many serotypes of E. coli have emerged over time as an evolutionary event. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the Shige toxin (Stx)-producing E. coli is associated with serious foodborne outbreaks (https://www.who.int/news-room/ fact-sheets/detail/e-coli). The O157:H7 serotype of E. coli is a representative pathogroup of the Stx-producing E. coli and causes hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) and hemorrhagic colitis (HC) [2]. Besides, Shigella sp. and Salmonella are other Enterobacteriaceae members with high virulence. Shigella causes shigellosis, an acute intestinal infection that is caused by the consumption of contaminated food and water. The species of Shigella, boydii, sonnei, flexneri, and dysenteriae are known to cause bacillary dysentery even in very low CFU counts [3]. On the other hand, serovars of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica like typhimurium, enteritidis, typhi, and paratyphi (A, B, and C) cause various distinct types of infections, these being salmonellosis, typhoid (by typhi), and paratyphoid fever (by paratyphi) [4]. Apart from these pathogens, Citrobacter freundii and Klebsiella sp. (mainly pneumoniae and oxytoca) are also associated with gastrointestinal and other mucosal infections [5–7].

In this context, vaccination and other prophylactic therapies are the major solutions to control disease outbreaks mediated by these infectious pathogens. Several studies have proven the immunoprophylactic potential of outer membrane proteins (OMPs) of gram-negative bacteria [8–11]. Above and beyond, OMPs are highly immunogenic by the virtue of the exposed immunodominant epitopes and therefore would be effective targets for vaccine development and passive immune therapy. According to Meenakshi et al., the OMPs of Salmonella have been known to play a crucial role in eliciting immune response [12]. Additionally, the OMPs are highly conserved across the proteobacteria members. For instance, a recent study by Liu et al. demonstrated that the OmpC of Salmonella typhi and OmpK36 of Klebsiella pneumo*niae* share greater sequence identity, and cross-reactive neutralizing antibodies were identified in mice sera [11].

In the early years of the decade, outer membrane porin (Omp) L which has been investigated by Yang et al. showed 100% protection in mice against salmonellosis and the other *Enterobacteriaceae* members such as *Klebsiella oxytoca, E. coli* O157:H7, *Shigella,* and *Citrobacter koseri* formed as a single cluster in the phylogenetic tree of OmpL [10]. Because the protection is high and the sequence homology is seen in OmpL among the *Enterobacteriaceae,* there is a fair chance of the presence of conserved epitopes which can be further characterized and used in developing a broad-spectrum vaccine against enteric pathogens. This has motivated us to unravel the sequence homology which exemplifies the conserved B-cell epitopes in OmpL protein among the pathogenic *Enterobacteriaceae* members which can lead to antibody-mediated cross-reactivity and neutralization. We employed various in silico tools and techniques of immunoinformatics for the identification of conserved immunodominant B-cell epitopes in the OmpL of the selected *Enterobacteriaceae* members [13–15] vaccine candidate against *Enterobacteriaceae* members.

## Methods

#### Sequence retrieval

The complete amino acid sequence of the OmpL protein (230 amino acids) of Salmonella typhimurium bearing an accession ID: Q9L7R3 was retrieved from the UniProt resource (https://rest.uniprot.org/uniprotkb/Q9L7R3. fasta). The signal peptide ranging from 1 to 20 amino acids in the OmpL protein was excluded from the analysis. The core protein region of 21-230 amino acids was subjected to the ProtParam tool (https://web.expasy.org/ protparam/) for its physicochemical parameter analysis and then was used for BLASTp analysis to mine the sequences of other Enterobacteriaceae pathogens E. coli O 157:H7, Shigella sp., Salmonella sp., Klebsiella sp., and C. freundii (Table 1). These mined sequences were downloaded from NCBI and saved in FASTA format with an extension ".fasta" or ".fa."

#### Protein modeling and refinement

Protein structures of OmpL of *E. coli* O 157:H7, *Shigella* sp., *Salmonella* sp., *Klebsiella* sp., and *C. freundii* were

 Table 1
 Accession IDs of the mined amino acid sequences of OmpL protein

Strain name	Accession ID
Salmonella typhimurium ATCC 700720	Q9L7R3
Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Enteritidis	EEA2672579.1
Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhi	EHK2171593.1
Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Paratyphi A	EGI6603164.1
Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Paratyphi B	EDC2010720.1
Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Paratyphi C	HCB5057200.1
Escherichia coli O157:H7	EFE2127272.1
Citrobacter freundii	MCI1670881.1
Klebsiella pneumoniae	MCM5985717.1
Klebsiella oxytoca	RFP39752.1
Shigella boydii	EFZ2304433.1
Shigella flexneri	EFZ8854897.1
Shigella sonnei	EFZ4853893.1
Shigella dysenteriae	EJE7370028.1

modeled and refined to the native biological conditions for predicting the discontinuous B-cell epitopes from the modeled 3-D structures of OmpL protein. The threedimensional structures of core protein region (21–210 amino acids) of OmpL proteins were predicted from the amino acid sequences with Roberta server (https://robet ta.bakerlab.org/) [16]. Further, the predicted structures were refined to improve their structural quality and bring the structures close to the experimental precision for the efficient prediction of discontinuous B-cell epitopes.

#### Multiple sequence and structural alignment

All the amino acid sequences retrieved through protein mining with BLASTp were used for our analysis. Multiple sequence alignment (MSA) was performed for the retrieved amino acid sequences to determine the sequence homology in the OmpL protein among *Enterobacteriaceae* members. An online program Clustal Omega (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) was used for aligning the amino acid sequences of OmpL. The output file was saved in MEGA format with an extension ".meg" or ".mega." The amino acid conservancy was determined in the alignment file of OmpL protein with the help of MEGA-X software. The structural alignment for the predicted structures was carried out using PDB pairwise structure alignment resource (https://www.rcsb. org/alignment).

### **B-cell epitopes**

Firstly, the linear and discontinuous B-cell epitopes present in core region (21aa-230aa) of OmpL protein of S. typhimurium were predicted. Later, these predicted B-cell epitopes were analyzed for their conservancy among the selected Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. For the prediction of linear B-cell epitopes, we used an online tool BepiPred (https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/servi ce.php?BepiPred-2.0) at a default threshold score of 0.5 where the peptide regions in OmpL protein of S. typh*imurium* with prediction scores greater than or equal to 0.5 were determined as epitopes [17]. The discontinuous B-cell epitopes were predicted from the 3-D structures of the OmpL proteins of E. coli O 157:H7, Shigella sp., Salmonella sp., Klebsiella sp., and Citrobacter freundii with DiscoTope tool in IEDB server (http://tools.iedb.org/ discotope/) [18].

## **Epitope conservancy**

The B-cell and T-cell epitopes in OmpL protein of *S. typhimurium* were analyzed for their conservancy among the selected *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens. For this, the predicted B-cell and T-cell epitopes in OmpL protein of *S. typhimurium* were searched in the alignment file of OmpL, and epitope conservancy among all

the *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens was represented in percentage.

## Results

## Amino acid conservancy in OmpL

The OmpL is a 25-kDa membrane protein with an isoelectric point of 5.46. Also, it is a stable protein with an instability index of 20.98 (proteins with an instability index of less than 40 are found to be stable). The MSA analysis revealed that the OmpL protein is highly conserved among the selected Enterobacteriaceae pathogens E. coli O157:H7, Shigella sp., Salmonella sp., Klebsiella sp., and Citrobacter freundii (Fig. 1). Table 2 shows the % identity matrix of OmpL among the Enterobacteriaceae members. A total of 172 amino acid residues are conserved out of the 210 amino acids with variations in only 38 amino acids in the core protein of OmpL of the selected Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. This amino acid conservancy has shown that the OmpL protein has a higher sequence identity of ~82% among Enterobacteriaceae members which signifies the presence of conserved immunodominant epitopes to confer crossprotection against these pathogens certainly.

### **Protein modeling**

Robetta server has generated the 3-D models of OmpL proteins for all the 14 *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens. The Robetta server has generated five models of 3-D protein structure for each protein. Upon, the top protein structure model from each OmpL structure was further refined and then used for the prediction of discontinuous B-cell epitopes (Fig. 2). Protein structural refinement brings the structural quality of the protein proximate to the experimental preciseness. Therefore, the perfectly refined structure can be used for the prediction of discontinuous B-cell epitopes, which are formed as a result of protein folding and exposed on the structure of protein.

#### Structural homology in the predicted structures of OmpL

While the sequence alignment is important to show the amino acid sequence homology in the protein for assessing the conservation of linear B-cell epitopes, structural alignment reveals the identity of confirmational B-cell epitopes that are formed as a result of protein folding. The percent identity matrix of OmpL protein structures is represented in Table 3. It is clear that the structural components of the OmpL are also highly conserved with > 90% which indicates the degree of conservation of discontinuous B-cell epitopes in the OmpL protein.

S.paratyphiB	GAYVENREAYNLASDQME <mark>F</mark> MLRVGYNSDMGAGIMLTNTYTLQR <mark>D</mark> DELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
S.typhimurium	GAYVENREAYNLASDQME <mark>F</mark> MLRVGYNSDMGAGIMLTNTYTLQR <mark>D</mark> DELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
S.enteritidis	GAYVENREAYNLASDQME <mark>F</mark> MLRVGYNSDMGAGIMLTNTYTLQR <mark>D</mark> DELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
S.paratyphiA	GAYVENREAYNLASDQME <mark>F</mark> MLRVGYNSDMGAGIMLTNTYTLQR <mark>D</mark> DELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
S.paratyphiC	GAYVENREAYNLASDQME <mark>F</mark> MLRVGYNSDMGAGIMLTNTYTLQR <mark>D</mark> DELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
S.typhi	GAYVENREAYNLASDQME <mark>F</mark> MLRVGYNSDMGAGIMLTNTYTLQR <mark>DN</mark> ELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
E.coli	GAYVENREAYNLASDQ <mark>G</mark> EVMLRVGYN <mark>F</mark> DMGAGIMLTNTYT <mark>F</mark> QREDELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
K.oxytoca	GAYVENREAYNLASDQ <mark>G</mark> EVMLRVGYN <mark>F</mark> DMGAGIMLTNTYT <mark>F</mark> QREDELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
S.sonnei	GAYVENREAYNLASDQ <mark>G</mark> EVMLRVGYN <mark>F</mark> DMGAGIMLTNTYT <mark>F</mark> QREDELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
S.dysenteriae	GAYVENREAYNLASDO <mark>G</mark> EVMLRVGYN <mark>F</mark> DMGAGIMLTNTYT <mark>F</mark> OREDELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
S.boydii	GAYVENREAYNLASDO <mark>G</mark> EVMLRVGYN <mark>F</mark> DMGAGIMLTNTY <mark>NF</mark> OREDELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
S.flexneri	GAYVENREAYNLASDQ <mark>G</mark> EVMLRVGYN <mark>F</mark> DMGAGIMLTNTY <mark>NF</mark> QREDELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
K.pneumoniae	GAYVENREAYNLASDO <mark>G</mark> EVMLRVGYN <mark>F</mark> DMGAGIMLTNTYTFOREDELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
C.freundii	GAYVENREAYNLASDOMEVMLRVGYNSDMGAGIMLTNTYTMORKDELKHGYNEIEGWYPI
	ECC CCC EE EE EE EE ECC CEE E EEE EE EE
S.paratyphiB	FKPTDKLTIQ <mark>S</mark> GGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKFTPWFNLTVRNRYNHNNYSSTDLNGEI
S.typhimurium	FKPTDKLTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKFTPWFNLTVRNRYNHNNYSSTDLNGEI
S.enteritidis	FKPTDKLTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKFTPWFNLTVRNRYNHNNYSSTDLNGEI
S.paratyphiA	FKPTDKLTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKFTPWFNLTVRNRYNHNNYSSTDLNGEI
S.paratyphiC	FKPTDKLTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKFTPWFNLTVRNRYNHNNYSSTDLNGEI
S.typhi	FKPTDKLTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKFTPWFNLTVRNRYNHNNYSSTDLNGEI
E.coli	FKPTDKLTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKFTPWFNLTVRNR <mark>F</mark> NHNNYSSTDLNG <b>D</b> I
K.oxytoca	FKPTDKLTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKFTPWFNLTVRNR <mark>F</mark> NHNNYSSTDLNG <mark>D</mark> I
S.sonnei	FKPTDKLTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKFTPWFNLTVRNR <mark>F</mark> NHNNYSSTDLNG <b>D</b> I
S.dysenteriae	FKPTDKLTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKFTPWFNLTVRNR <mark>F</mark> NHNNYSSTDLNG <b>D</b> I
S.boydii	FKPTDKLTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKF <mark>M</mark> PWFNLTVRNRYNHNNYSSTDLNGEI
S.flexneri	FKPTD <mark>E</mark> LTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLD <mark>I</mark> NYKF <mark>M</mark> PWFNLTVRNRYNHNNYSSTDLNGEI
K.pneumoniae	FKPTDKLTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKF <mark>V</mark> PWFNLTVRNRYNHNNYSSTDL <mark>S</mark> GEI
C.freundii	FKPTDKLTIQPGGLINDKSIGSGGAVYLDVNYKFTPWFNLTVRNRYNHNNYSSTDLNGEI
C. manatamb i D	CCCC EE E EEE EEE E EEE CC EE E EE EEE EE
S.paratyphiB	CCCC EE E EEE EEE E EEE CC EE E EE EEE EE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium	CCCC EE E EEE EEE E EEE CC EE E EE EEE EE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis	CCCC EE E EEE EEE E EEE CC EE E EE EEE EE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA	CCCC EE E EEE EEE EEE CC EE E EE EEE EE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC	CCCC EE E EEE EEE EEE CC EE E EE EEE EE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi	CCCC EE E EEE EEE EEE CC EE E EE EEE EE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli	CCCC EE E EEE EEE CC EE E EE EEE EE EE E
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca	CCCC EE E EEE EEE EEE CC EE E EE EEE EE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei	CCCC EE E EEE EEE CC EE E EE EEE EE E EE CCE CCC CCE EE E
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae	CCCC EE E EEE EEE EEE CC EE E EEE EEE E
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii	CCCC EE E EEE EEE CC EE E EE EEE EE E EE C CEE CCC CCE EE E
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri	CCCC EE E EEE EEE CC EE E EE EEE EE E EE C CEE CCC CCE EE E
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae	CCCC EE E EEE EEE CC EE E EEE EEE EE E EEC CEE CCC CCE EE E
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE E EEE EEE EEE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE E EEE EEE EEE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE E EEE EEE EEE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE EE EEE EEE EE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium	CCCC EE E EEE EEE EEE CC EE EE EEE EEE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis	CCCC EE E EEE EEE EEE CC EE E EEE EE EE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EEE EEE EEE EEE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiA	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE EE EEE EE EE E
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiC S.tumbi	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE EE EEE EE EE E
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi F.coli	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE EE EEE EE EE E
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE E EEE EEE EE E
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE EE EEE EE EE E
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE EE EEE EEE EE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE EE EEE EEE EE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE EE EEE EE EE E
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE EE EEE EE EEE EE EEE EE EEE EE
S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae C.freundii S.paratyphiB S.typhimurium S.enteritidis S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiA S.paratyphiC S.typhi E.coli K.oxytoca S.sonnei S.dysenteriae S.boydii S.flexneri K.pneumoniae	CCCC EE EEE EEE EEE CC EE EE EE EE EE EE

**Fig. 1** Amino acid sequence conservation in the OmpL protein of *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens. The OmpL protein is highly conserved in the selected *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens with a sequence identity of ~82% (conserved regions are highlighted in the yellow regions). The coils in protein structure are represented with "C" and strands are highlighted as "E" on the sequence in alignment

	K. oxytoca	C. freundii	K. pneumoniae	S. typhimurium	S. enteritidis	S. typhi	S. paratyphi A	S. paratyphi B	S. paratyphi C	S. flexneri	S. boydii	S. sonnei	S. dysenteriae	E. coli 0157:H7
K. oxytoca	100	90.95	90.95	90.00	90.00	00.06	90.00	00.06	90.00	86.67	87.62	88.10	88.10	86.67
C. freundii	90.95	100	94.29	93.81	93.81	93.81	93.81	93.81	93.81	91.43	92.38	92.86	91.90	90.95
K. pneumo- niae	90.95	94.29	100	92.86	92.86	92.86	92.86	92.86	92.86	92.86	93.81	93.33	94.76	93.33
S. typhimu- rium	00.06	93.81	92.86	100	100	100	100	100	100	94.29	95.24	95.24	95.24	93.81
S. enteritidis	90.00	93.81	92.86	100	100	100	100	100	100	94.29	95.24	95.24	95.24	93.81
S. typhi	90.00	93.81	92.86	100	100	100	100	100	100	94.29	95.24	95.24	95.24	93.81
S. paratyphi A	90.00	93.81	92.86	100	100	100	100	100	100	94.29	95.24	95.24	95.24	93.81
S. paratyphi B	00.06	93.81	92.86	100	100	100	100	100	100	94.29	95.24	95.24	95.24	93.81
S. paratyphi C	00.06	93.81	92.86	100	100	100	100	100	100	94.29	95.24	95.24	95.24	93.81
S. flexneri	86.67	91.43	92.86	94.29	94.29	94.29	94.29	94.29	94.29	100	99.05	98.57	95.71	95.24
S. boydii	87.62	92.38	93.81	95.24	95.24	95.24	95.24	95.24	95.24	99.05	100	99.52	96.67	96.19
S. sonnei	88.10	92.86	93.33	95.24	95.24	95.24	95.24	95.24	95.24	98.57	99.52	100	96.19	95.71
S. dysente- riae	88.10	91.90	94.76	95.24	95.24	95.24	95.24	95.24	95.24	95.71	96.67	96.19	100	98.57
<i>E. coli</i> 0157:H7	86.67	90.95	93.33	93.81	93.81	93.81	93.81	93.81	93.81	95.24	96.19	95.71	98.57	100

Table 2 Percent identity matrix representing the sequence homology of the OmpL protein of Enterobacteriaceae pathogens



Fig. 2 In silico modeled and refined three-dimensional structures of OmpL proteins of Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. A Citrobacter freundii. B E coli O 157:H7. C Shigella boydii. D Shigella flexneri. E Shigella sonnei. F Shigella dysenteriae. G Salmonella enteritidis. H Salmonella typhimurium. I Salmonella typhi. J Salmonella paratyphi A. K Salmonella paratyphi B. L Salmonella paratyphi C. M Klebsiella pneumonia. N Klebsiella oxytoca

## Linear B-cell epitopes in OmpL

The OmpL protein contains predominant B-cell epitopes which are completely exposed out for the accessibility to antibodies. We predicted a total of five B-cell epitopes in the OmpL of S. typhimurium with the help of BepiPred tool (Table 4) (Fig. 3). Upon submission of amino acid sequence to BepiPred tool, the B-cell epitope peptide regions with a prediction score of greater than or equal to the default threshold value of 0.5 were chosen for further analysis. Previous study by Yang et al. has shown that the OmpL protein contains of six outer membrane loops [10]. In our observation, we clearly understood that the five linear B-cell epitopes ENREAYNLASDQ (25aa-36aa), TLQRDDELKHGYNE (60aa-73aa), NHN-NYSSTDLNGELDNNDS (127aa-145aa), YFYNVND-FNSSNGTKHH (168aa-184aa), and LDRNVGPYHREQ (208aa–219aa) predicted in the OmpL of S. typhimurium are organized in these outer membrane loops which are clearly exposed out on the structure of protein (Fig. 3).

## Confirmational/discontinuous B-cell epitopes in OmpL

Furthermore, discontinuous B-cell epitopes at various amino acid regions of OmpL structures from the 14 *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens were also identified (Fig. 4). These discontinuous epitopes are peptide regions that are distributed along the protein sequence, which are recognized by antibodies when once they come close during protein folding. We identified several discontinuous B-cell epitopes in the exposed loops of OmpL (Fig. 4). In contrast, several amino acid regions in the periplasmic region were also identified as discontinuous B-cell epitopes.

## **B-cell epitope conservation**

Both the linear and discontinuous B-cell epitopes were found to be highly conserved in the OmpL protein of Enterobacteriaceae. Among the five predicted B-cell epitopes in OmpL of S. typhimurium, four epitopes ENREAYNLASDQ (25aa-36aa), TLQRDDELKHGYNE (60aa-73aa), HNNYSSTDLNGELDNNDS (127aa-145aa), and LDRNVGPYHREQ (208aa-219aa) are highly conserved among the other Enterobacteriaceae pathogens with an amino acid conservancy of 100%, 78.57%, 78.94%, and 83.33%, respectively (Table 4) (Fig. 5a). Only one linear B-cell epitope YFYNVNDFNSSNGTKHH (168aa-184aa) is least conserved among the Enterobacteriaceae pathogens with 64.70%. In parallel, the conservancy was also seen in various discontinuous B-cell epitope residues at the amino acid level in alignment file of OmpL (Fig. 5b). The conservancy of discontinuous B-cell epitope regions was identified in the amino acid regions 32-35, 48-49, 59, 61-69, 81-86, 99-105,

Table 3 Percent identity matrix representing the structural homology of the OmpL protein of Enterobacteriaceae pathogens

	K. oxytoca	C. freundii	K. pneumoniae	S. typhimurium	S. enteritidis	S. typhi	S. paratyphi A	S. paratyphi B	S. paratyphi C	S. flexneri	S. boydii	S. sonnei	S. dysenteriae	E. coli 0157:H7
K. oxytoca	100	98	97	100	97	97	92	97	97	98	97	98	95	95
C. freundii	98	100	66	98	100	100	92	100	100	100	100	100	95	94
K. pneumo- niae	97	66	100	97	66	66	92	100	66	100	100	66	96	94
S. typhimu- rium	100	98	97	100	97	97	92	67	97	97	97	97	98	94
S. enteritidis	97	100	66	97	100	66	92	66	100	100	100	100	96	94
S. typhi	97	100	66	97	66	100	92	66	100	100	100	100	96	94
S. paratyphi A	92	92	92	92	92	92	100	92	92	93	92	92	93	92
S. paratyphi B	97	100	100	97	66	66	92	100	100	100	100	66	95	94
S. paratyphi C	98	100	66	97	100	100	92	100	100	100	100	100	95	94
S. flexneri	98	100	100	97	100	100	93	100	100	100	100	100	97	94
S. boydii	97	100	100	97	100	100	92	100	100	100	100	100	96	94
S. sonnei	98	100	66	97	100	100	92	66	100	100	100	100	95	94
S. dysente- riae	95	95	96	98	96	96	93	95	95	97	96	95	100	97
<i>E. coli</i> 0157:H7	95	94	94	94	94	94	92	94	94	94	94	94	97	100

 Table 4
 Linear B-cell epitopes predicted in the OmpL protein of

 S. typhimurium
 S.

Epitope	Peptide region	Conservancy (%)
ENREAYNLASDQ	25–36	100
TLQRDDELKHGYNE	60-73	78.57
NHNNYSSTDLNGELDNNDS	127–145	78.94
YFYNVNDFNSSNGTKHH	168–184	64.70

114–116, 126–140, 141–149, 156–160, 167–186, 197– 198, 209–220, and 230 of OmpL protein.

## Discussion

Outer membrane proteins (OMPs) of gram-negative bacteria are highly immunogenic in nature as they elicit strong immune response against the pathogens. In *Enterobacteriaceae*, the OMPs are highly conserved and share amino acid sequence homology to a greater extent [19]. Research findings from our previous studies [8, 9] have shown that the cross-reactivity of antibodies directed against the outer membrane proteins among the pathogens of *Enterobacteriaceae*. This indicates the conserved nature of antibody binding immunodominant epitopes in the OMPs. Immunogenicity of the crude OMPs was studied against the *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens [8]. Understanding the nature of specific OMP antigens is more important for the development of recombinant sub-unit vaccines. Until date, only few outer membrane proteins have been explored for their vaccine potential against the Enterobacteriaceae pathogens [9-11]. The outer membrane porin (Omp) L is one such potential antigenic protein which was studied for its immunogenicity against salmonellosis in mice model [10]. The OmpL (also called as YshA) is a 230 amino acid long protein characterized by the presence of 12  $\beta$ -trans membrane strands which expose 6 outer membrane loops into extracellular and 5 internal turns in periplasmic regions [10]. Furthermore, the OmpL protein is highly expressible in E. coli host expression system owing for its choice in the development of recombinant subunit vaccines. The recombinant version of OmpL protein (r-OmpL) was found to be highly immunogenic in eliciting immune response against the S. typhimurium and has shown 100% protection against the lethal challenge of  $5 \times 108$  CFU/mL of S. *typhimurium*. Being highly conserved, the anti-OmpL sera have shown seroreactivity with the other serovars of Salmonella also. Additionally, in silico analysis has shown that all the outer membrane exposed loops in OmpL protein are extremely hydrophilic and completely exposed out. Importantly, phylogenetic analysis of OmpL protein has shown that the Salmonella serovars such as typhimurium, enteritidis, typhi, and paratyphi (A, B, and C) formed a monophyletic group and the other prominent



Fig. 3 Linear B-cell epitopes predicted in the OmpL of *S. typhimurium*. The linear B-cell epitopes are located within the coordinates of the outer membrane loops of OmpL in the extracellular region



Fig. 4 Discontinuous B-cell epitopes predicted in the OmpL protein of A Citrobacter freundii. B E coli O 157:H7. C Shigella boydii. D Shigella flexneri. E Shigella sonnei. F Shigella dysenteriae. G Salmonella enteritidis. H Salmonella typhimurium. I Salmonella typhi. J Salmonella paratyphi A. K Salmonella paratyphi B. L Salmonella paratyphi C. M. Klebsiella pneumonia. N. Klebsiella oxytoca. The discontinuous B-cell epitope regions are highlighted in the yellow regions of OmpL protein structures



**Fig. 5** a Conservancy of the predicted linear B-cell epitopes in OmpL of *S. typhimurium* with the other *Enterobacteriaceae* members. The conservancy of linear B-cell epitopes ENREAYNLASDQ (25aa–36aa), TLQRDDELKHGYNE (60aa–73aa), HNNYSSTDLNGELDNNDS (127aa–145aa), YFYNVNDFNSSNGTKHH (168aa–184aa), and LDRNVGPYHREQ (208aa–219aa) is 100%, 78.57%, 78.94%, 64.70 and 83.33% respectively. **b** Conserved discontinuous B cell epitopes in the OmpL of *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens. Conserved discontinuous B-cell epitopes are in the 32–35, 48–49, 59, 61–69, 81–86, 99–105, 114–116, 126–140, and 141

Enterobacteriaceae pathogens E. coli O 157: H7, Shigella sp., K. oxytoca, and C. koseri as their close relatives with very less evolutionary divergence. These interesting findings have provoked us to analyze the OmpL protein for its amino acid and epitope conservancy among the Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. For the best of our knowledge, very few attempts were made to identify the conserved immunodominant epitopes in OMPs. In this study, using various bioinformatics resources, we determined the sequence homology in OmpL among a few pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae members with supreme focus on foodborne and extraintestinal infection outbreaks. The OmpL protein is highly conserved among the Enterobacteriaceae pathogens which signify the likelihood of the presence of conserved immunodominant B-cell epitopes (Table 2) (Fig. 1). The five linear B-cell epitopes which are predicted based on the amino acid sequence of OmpL of S. typhimurium are in the coordinates of outer membrane loops in extracellular region. Being hydrophilic, these loops are completely exposed out with immediate access of epitopes to the antibodies. The 4 linear B-cell epitopes ENREAYNLASDQ (25aa-36aa), TLQRDDELKHGYNE (60aa-373aa), NHNNYSSTDLNGELDNNDS (127aa-145aa), and LDRNVGPYHREQ (208aa-3219aa) are highly conserved among the other Enterobacteriaceae pathogens with high degree of sequence similarity in B-cell epitopes. Though there are few mutated positions in the amino acid residues of these B-cell epitopes, the higher sequence identity in the B-cell epitopes would vouch for the cross-reactivity as this case was observed in few studies [20, 21]. The discontinuous B-cell epitope regions predicted from the highly refined models of OmpL structures are also conserved among the Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. Unlike linear B-cell epitopes where the epitopes are in the extracellular loops, the discontinuous B-cell epitopes are also predicted in the intracellular regions of OmpL protein structures because the regions are open. But the antibodies will recognize only the surface-exposed epitopes since the periplasm regions are located within the cell wall of bacteria. Whatever the case may be, both linear and discontinuous B-cell epitopes are exposed out since they are in the extracellular loops and are highly conserved among the Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. Therefore, the anti-OmpL antibodies would likely prevent the adhesion of the Enterobacteriaceae pathogens E. coli O 157:H7, Shigella sp., Salmonella serovars, Klebsiella sp., and C. freundii to the epithelial cell wall and prevent their entry. Precisely, these immunodominant conserved epitopes can generate humoral immune response against the OmpL protein and activate B cells of different clones for conferring heterologous cross protection against the Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. From our analysis on the OmpL protein, we strongly suggest that the current research in recombinant vaccines against the *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens can focus on targeting this potential immunodominant antigenic protein because it contains predominant conserved B-cell epitopes. Because the OmpL of *S. typhimurium* which we considered for analysis is highly conserved among other *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens in terms of its sequence and structure, this protein can be used as a broad-spectrum recombinant subunit vaccine for the gastrointestinal infections caused by the *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens. Similar to our previous reports on subunit vaccine development, the OmpL can be cloned and expressed and can be administered to mice along with the potential adjuvants like Freund's adjuvants to induce strong immunogenicity [8, 9, 14].

Though this in silico study has proven the presence of highly conserved B-cell epitopes (linear and conformational), further in vitro validation is required to assess the antibody-mediated cross-reactivity towards the OmpL of different *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens. Conceivably, the conserved B-cell epitopes will assure the antibody-mediated-cross-reactivity towards this potential antigenic protein which will provide clear insight into the development of a broad-spectrum subunit vaccine against enteric infections.

#### Conclusion

The outer membrane proteins of *Enterobacteriaceae* are highly immunogenic and share sequence homology. This property resembles the presence of conserved immunodominant epitopes which are very crucial in generating heterologous immune response and confer protection against multiple pathogens simultaneously. We have identified such immunodominant conserved B-cell epitopes in the OmpL protein of major pathogenic representatives of *Enterobacteriaceae* such as *E. coli* O157:H7, *Shigella* sp., *Salmonella* sp., *Klebsiella* sp., and *C. freun-dii*. This computational evidence will be a promising call for current vaccine design and development which can generate heterologous immune response against these multiple *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens and confer cross protection.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Viganan's Foundation for Science, Technology and Research (VFSTR) for the support and encouragement during study period. Shivakiran S. Makam thanks the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, for awarding Early Career Research (ECR) project (ECR/2016/000685). Prakash N. Reddy thanks the INSPIRE division of the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, for providing INSPIRE faculty award and research grant (DST/INSPIRE/04/2017/000565). Prakash Narayana Reddy also thanks Dr. I. Vijaya Babu, Principal of Dr. V.S. Krishna Govt. Degree College, for his support and encouragement.

#### Authors' contributions

HBK, SSM, and PNR designed the study. HBK performed the computational analysis with the guidelines of SSM and PNR. HBK, SSM, and PNR wrote and

edited the manuscript. All the authors have read and approved the manuscript for publication.

#### Funding

This study is a part of the project funded by Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, under Early Career Research (ECR) scheme (ECR/2016/000685) and INSPIRE division of Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (DST/INSPIRE/04/2017/000565).

#### Availability of data and materials

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 9 October 2022 Accepted: 14 November 2023 Published online: 28 November 2023

#### References

- Babu L, Reddy P, Murali HS, Batra HV (2013) Optimization and evaluation of a multiplex PCR for simultaneous detection of prominent foodborne pathogens of *Enterobacteriaceae*. Ann Microbiol 63:1591–1599. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s13213-013-0622-0
- Etcheverría AI, Padola NL (2013) Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli: factors involved in virulence and cattle colonization. Virulence 4(5):366–372. https://doi.org/10.4161/viru.24642
- Mintz E, Chaignat C (2008) Shigellosis. In: Heymann David L (ed) Control of communicable diseases manual. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, pp 556–560
- Baylis C, Uyttendaele M, Joosten H, Davies A (2011) The Enterobacteriaceae and their significance to the food industry. The Enterobacteriaceae and their significance to the food industry.
- Klipstein FA, Engert RF (1976) Purification and properties of Klebsiella pneumoniae heatstable enterotoxin. Infect Immun 13:373–381
- Singh L, Cariappa MP, Kaur M (2016) Klebsiella oxytoca: An emerging pathogen? Med J Armed Forces India 72(Suppl 1):S59–S61. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.mjafi.2016.05.002
- Harvey D, Holt DE, Bedford H (1999) Bacterial meningitis in the newborn: a prospective study of mortality and morbidity. Semin Perinatol 23:218–225
- Reddy PN, Makam SS, Kota RK, Yatung G, Urs RM, Batra H, Tuteja U (2020) Functional characterization of a broad and potent neutralizing monoclonal antibody directed against outer membrane protein (OMP) of Salmonella typhimurium. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 104(6):2651–2661. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-020-10394-5
- Babu L, Uppalapati SR, Sripathy MH, Reddy PN (2017) Evaluation of recombinant multiepitope outer membrane protein-based *Klebsiella pneumoniae* subunit vaccine in mouse model. Front Microbiol 8:1805. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01805
- Yang Y, Wan C, Xu H, Wei H (2013) Identification and characterization of OmpL as a potential vaccine candidate for immune-protection against salmonellosis in mice. Vaccine 31(28):2930–2936. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.vaccine.2013.04.044
- Liu EY, Chen JH, Lin JC, Wang CH, Fung CP, Ding YJ, Chang FY, Siu LK (2022) Crossprotection induced by highly conserved outer membrane proteins (Omps) in mice immunized with OmpC of Salmonella typhi or OmpK36 of Klebsiella pneumoniae. Vaccine 40(18):2604–2611. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.03.016

- Meenakshi M, Bakshi CS, Butchaiah G, Bansal MP, Siddiqui MZ, Singh VP (1999) Adjuvanted outer membrane protein vaccine protects poultry against infection with Salmonella enteritidis. Vet Res Commun 23(2):81– 90. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006250301254
- Kolla HB, Tirumalasetty C, Sreerama K (2021) An immunoinformatics approach for the design of a multi-epitope vaccine targeting super antigen TSST-1 of *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Genet Eng Biotechnol 19:69. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43141-021-00160-z
- Kota RK, Kolla HB, Reddy PN, Kalagatur NK, Samudrala SK (2021) Immunoinformatics analysis and evaluation of recombinant chimeric triple antigen toxoid (r-HAB) against Staphylococcus aureus toxaemia in mouse model. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 105(21–22):8297–8311. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00253-021-11609-z
- Shahab M, Hayat C, Sikandar R, Zheng G, Akter S (2022) In silico designing of a multiepitope vaccine against Burkholderia pseudomallei: reverse vaccinology and immunoinformatics. J Genet Eng Biotechnol 20(1):100. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43141-022-00379-4
- Kim DE, Chivian D, Baker D (2004) Protein structure prediction and analysis using the Robetta server. Nucleic Acids Res 32(Web Server issue):W526–W531. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh468
- Jespersen MC, Peters B, Nielsen M, Marcatili P (2017) BepiPred-2.0: improving sequencebased B-cell epitope prediction using conformational epitopes. Nucleic Acids Res 45(W1):W24–W29. https://doi.org/10. 1093/nar/gkx346
- Kringelum JV, Lundegaard C, Lund O, Nielsen M (2012) Reliable B cell epitope predictions: impacts of method development and improved benchmarking. PLoS Comput Biol 8(12):e1002829. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pcbi.1002829
- Rollauer SE, Sooreshjani MA, Noinaj N, Buchanan SK (2015) Outer membrane protein biogenesis in gram-negative bacteria. Philos Trans R Soc Lond, B Biol Sci 370(1679):20150023. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015. 0023
- Tajuelo A, López-Siles M, Más V, Pérez-Romero P, Aguado JM, Briz V, McConnell MJ, Martín-Galiano AJ, López D (2022) Cross-recognition of SARS-CoV-2 B-cell epitopes with other Betacoronavirus nucleoproteins. Int J Mol Sci 23(6):2977. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23062977
- Perschinka H, Mayr M, Millonig G, Mayerl C, van der Zee R, Morrison SG, Morrison RP, Xu Q, Wick G (2003) Cross-reactive B-cell epitopes of microbial and human heat shock protein 60/65 in atherosclerosis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 23(6):1060–1065. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.ATV.00000 71701.62486.49

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com